

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,148		11/14/2003	Kazutoshi Shiratori	IPO-P1867	2378
3624	7590	02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
VOLPE AT				GRAY, DAVID M	
30 SOUTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				2851	
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/714,148	SHIRATORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David M. Gray	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	1 December 2004.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ T	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 and 22-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2003 at Examiner.		a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-14-2003.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Art Unit: 2851

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-13 and 22-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction requirement in the reply filed on 12-31-2004.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group IV in the reply filed on 12-31-2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "examination of all 34 claims of the present application as a single group will work in economy to the benefit of both the Patent Office and the Applicant since the examination and prosecution will be limited to one application instead of four applications." This is not found persuasive because applicant's alleged economy does not show that the examiner's restriction in error. The examination of plural inventions in a single application places a burden on the Office and does not provide any economy. Applicant's remarks regarding Groups II and III are irrelevant to the instant application, as applicant has elected Group IV.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2851

Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pasquarella et al.

Regarding claim 14, Pasquarella et al. discloses "a shutter blade [16]; and a member [34] formed of shock absorbing material [36A and 36B, col 4, ln 45 - col 5, ln 25] displaced from a trajectory of the shutter blade moving between said open and closed position [24 and 24 are not in the same plane as 16] for suppressing bounding of said blade [col 2, lns 42-49]."

Regarding claim 15, "the shutter device is a lens shutter device [col 1, lns 13-23]." The remaining claims are similarly met by Pasquarella et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takahashi and Naganuma are cited for their disclosure of a sloped surface to deflect the shutter blades into contact with one another in order to suppress shutter bounding. The shutter blades, used as the damping elements, are rigid and thus not considered shock absorbing material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-2119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Gray Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851